

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/050,593	KING ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David A. Lambertson	1636	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) David A. Lambertson.

(3) _____

(2) Robert Jondle.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted regarding a potential Examiner's Amendment. Applicant was advised that withdrawn claims 9, 10, 16-18 and 31 needed to be cancelled. Additionally, some corrections to the language of claim 1 were discussed. The attached amendment was agreed upon for the sole purpose of furthering prosecution towards allowance, and is not an acquiescence to the position taken by the Office.